



मध्य प्रदेश MADHYA PRADESH

DF 432247

BEFORE THE ARBITRATOR RAJESH BISARIA  
UNDER THE  
.IN DOMAIN NAME DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY (INDRP)  
[NATIONAL INTERNET EXCHANGE OF INDIA (NIXI)]

ARBITRAL AWARD

Date-30.12.2025

Disputed Domain Name: [www.ssvmdhurwaranchi.in](http://www.ssvmdhurwaranchi.in)

INDRP Case No - 2044

THE PARTIES

(1) The Complainant is Shree Sarasswathi Vidhyaah Mandheer (SSVM) Institutions Organization / Trust: Srisha Educational and Charitable Trust and having its registered office Sf No 72/2, Pattanam, To, Vellore Rd, Vaigai Nagar, Singanallur, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641016

The Respondent is Principal Organization: Saraswati Shishu Vidya Mandir Address: Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand 834004, India



## THE DOMAIN NAME AND REGISTRAR

(2)

(a) This dispute concerns to the domain name **www.ssvmdhurwaranchi.in**

(b) The Registrar with whom the disputed domain name is registered is indicated as:

Endurance Digital Domain Technology Private Limited, with address: NOT PROVIDED and Email ID: NOT PROVIDED.

This was registered on 21.06.2016

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

(3)

The NIXI appointed RAJESH BISARIA as Arbitrator from its panel as per paragraph 5(b) of INDRP Rules of procedure	30.10.2025
Arbitral proceedings were commenced by sending notice to Respondent through e-mail as per paragraph 5(c) of INDRP Rules of Procedure, marking a copy of the same to Complainant's authorized representative and NIXI.	03.11.2025
Due date of submission of Statement of Claim by Complainant (instructed by mail dated 03.11.2025)	13.11.2025
Complainant 's response by submitting their Statement of Claim to AT- Soft copy Hard copy	01.12.2025 Not received
Complainant 's response by submitting their Statement of Claim along with all annexures to Respondent- <b>Soft copy</b> - Complainant sent the copy of complaint along with all annexures to Respondent vide their mail dated 01.12.2025 (09:43AM) and stated that – <i>'As advised, we will resend the soft copies (PDF and editable formats) of the Complaint along with all Annexures to both the Respondent and the Arbitrator. We will also provide the proof of dispatch for the soft copy and hard copy submissions at the earliest.'</i>	01.12.2025



<b>Hard copy</b> – Complainant vide their mail dated 01.12.2025 (09:43AM) and stated that – <i>'As advised, we will resend the soft copies (PDF and editable formats) of the Complaint along with all Annexures to both the Respondent and the Arbitrator. We will also provide the proof of dispatch for the soft copy and hard copy submissions at the earliest.'</i>	
Due date of submission of Statement of Defense by Respondent as instructed by AT mail dated 03.11.2025 and 30.11.2025	24.11.2025 05.12.2025
Respondent's response by submitting their Statement of Defense against the due date of submission as 05.12.2025	Not submitted
Complainant's response by submitting their Rejoinder	Not required
AT by their mail dated 30.11.2025 stated and informed all concerning that- <i>As per AT's mail dated 03.11.2025 Respondent was directed to file the Soft copy (PDF &amp; Editable) and the Hard copy of 'Reply of the said complaint (Statement of Defense)' along with complete set of annexure' on or before 24.11.2025. But Respondent failed to submit the said documents within said time limit ie 24.11.2025 and even by today ie 29.11.2025. Respondent has also not filed any application for the grant of extension of time for this submission.</i>  <i>Respondent is given one more opportunity to submit the soft copy (PDF &amp; Editable) and the hard copy of 'Reply of the said complaint (Statement of Defense) along with complete set of annexure' on or before 05.12.2025. In case the Respondent fails to file the said documents within above stipulated time, their right to submit the same shall stand forfeited and no further opportunity shall be granted in this regard and the Award will be published on merit.</i>	30.11.2025
The language of the proceedings	English



## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

### (4) The Complainant:

Name: SRISHA Educational and Charitable Trust  
 Organization: SSVM Institutions  
 Legal Standing: Trademark owner (Reg. No. 4100102, India, Class 41)  
 Address: Alangombu Post, Mettupalayam, Tamil Nadu 641302, India  
 Telephone: +91-7397778158  
 Email: admin@ssvminstitutions.ac.in

**The Complainant's authorized representative in this administrative proceeding is:**

Mr. S. Mohandoss, Trustee, Email NOT PROVIDED

**The Complainant's Attorneys in this administrative proceeding are:**  
 NOT PROVIDED

**The Complainant's preferred method of communication directed to the Complainant in this administrative proceeding is:**

Medium: NOT PROVIDED  
 Address: NOT PROVIDED  
 Concerned Person's Name: NOT PROVIDED

### (5) The Respondent:

Name: Principal  
 Organization: Saraswati Shishu Vidya Mandir  
 Address: Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand 834004, India  
 Email: ssvmdhurwa14@gmail.com  
 Phone: +91-6512445201

### (6) Complainant's Activities:

(a) NOT PROVIDED



**(7) Complainant's Trade Marks and Domain Names:**

- (a) SSVM Institutions (formally Shree Sarasswathi Vidhyaah Mandheer) is the only registered and trademarked educational group in India using the acronym "SSVM". Trademark No. 4100102, filed under Class 41 (educational services), was officially recorded on 28 July 2019.
- (b) The brand is protected in law, and usage of "SSVM" by other unaffiliated institutions leads to substantial confusion and misrepresentation [ssvminstitutions.ac.in](http://ssvminstitutions.ac.in).

**(8) Respondent's Identity and activities:**

Respondent failed to submit their Statement of Defense, so his identity and activities are not clear.

**(9) Response by Respondent:**

No Response.

**(10) Rejoinder by Complainant:**

Since the Respondent failed to submit their reply to the Complaint of Complainant, so Rejoinder was not required to be submitted by Complainant.

**(11) Submissions of Documents by Complainant:**

Complainant submitted Domain name complaint in two files, one with pages 1 to 3 (words 442 approx) no annexures and second with pages 1 to 2 (words 292 approx) and annexure from page 3 to 28 and Power of Attorney in 05 pages.

As per the INDRP Rules of Procedure, Clause 4(a) – *The (maximum) word limit shall be 5000 words for all pleadings individually (excluding annexure). Annexure shall not be more than 100 pages in total. Parties shall observe this rule strictly subject to Arbitrator's discretion.*

The Complainant submitted pleadings in two files of approximately totaling to 734 words and Annexures of total 30 pages. As per the practice one submission is made by the Complainant which is as per the above norms of the INDRP Rule. But in the interest of justice both the submissions made by him is taken into consideration.



**THE CONTENTIONS OF COMPLAINANT**

**(12) The domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trade mark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights:**

**Submission by Complainant**

(a) NOT PROVIDED.

**(13) The Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the domain name:**

**Submission by Complainant**

(a) NOT PROVIDED.

**(14) The domain name was registered and is being used in bad faith:**

**Submission by Complainant**

(a) The disputed domain name incorporates the Complainant's registered trademark 'SSVM' in its entirety, combined with 'dhurwa' and 'ranchi' which are geographical identifiers. The Respondent has no authorization or legitimate interest in using the mark and has registered it in bad faith to mislead the public by operating an educational institution under a confusingly similar name.

**OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS:**

**(15) Submission of Complainant**

NOT PROVIDED.

**REMEDY SOUGHT:**

**(16) Submission of Complainant**

In accordance with Paragraph 4 of the INDRP, the Complainant requests that the Arbitration Panel appointed in this administrative proceeding order the transfer of the disputed domain name, ssvmdhurwaranchi.in, to the Complainant



## DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS:

(17) After going through the correspondence, this AT comes to the conclusion that the Arbitral Tribunal was properly constituted and appointed as per Clause 5 of the INDRP Rules of Procedure and Respondent has been notified of the complaint of the Complainant.

(18) Respondent was given enough opportunity to submit Reply of Complaint (Statement of Defense) latest by 24.11.2025 and thereafter latest by 05.12.2025. But Respondent failed to submit the same within said time limit; therefore, the Respondent right to submit the SOD was forfeited and the award was published on merits and on the basis of the documents on record with this tribunal as per INDRP policy.

(19) Under Clause 4, of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolutions policy (INDRP), the Complainant has filed a complaint to .IN Registry on the following premises:

- (a) the Registrant's domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a Name, Trademark or Service Mark in which the Complainant has rights; and
- (b) the Registrant's has no rights or legitimate interest in respect of the domain name; and
- (c) The Registrant's domain name has been registered or is being used either in bad faith or for illegal/unlawful purpose.

(20) **The Registrant's domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a Name, Trademark or Service Mark in which the Complainant has rights:**

### Facts & Findings

Though the Respondent has failed to submit their reply (SOD) to the Statement of claim (SOC), Complainant has also failed to provide any pleading relation to how the domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a Name, Trademark



or Service Mark in which the Complainant has rights. This is the mandatory requirement as per the INDRP Policy 2022 and INDRP Rules of Procedure 2022. Therefore the Arbitral Tribunal concludes that the Complainant has failed to establish Clause 4(a) of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP) and does not satisfies the said Clause of policy.

**(21) The Registrant's has no rights or legitimate interest in respect of the domain name:**

**Facts & Findings**

Though the Respondent has failed to submit their reply (SOD) to the Statement of claim (SOC), Complainant has also failed to provide any pleading relation to how the Registrant's has no rights or legitimate interest in respect of the domain name. This is the mandatory requirement as per the INDRP Policy 2022 and INDRP Rules of Procedure 2022. Therefore the Arbitral Tribunal concludes that the Complainant has failed to establish Clause 4(b) of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP) and does not satisfies the said Clause of policy.

**(22) The Registrant's domain name has been registered or is being used either in bad faith or for illegal/unlawful purpose:**

**Facts & Findings**

Though the Respondent has failed to submit their reply (SOD) to the Statement of claim (SOC), Complainant has also failed to provide any pleading relation to how the Registrant's domain name has been registered or is being used either in bad faith or for illegal/unlawful purpose. This is the mandatory requirement as per the INDRP Policy 2022 and INDRP Rules of Procedure 2022. Therefore the Arbitral Tribunal concludes that the Complainant has failed to establish Clause 4(c) of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP) and does not satisfies the said Clause of policy.



### **(23) ARBITRAL AWARD**

I, **Rajesh Bisaria**, Arbitrator, after examining and considering the pleadings and documentary evidence produced before and having applied mind and considering the facts, documents and other evidence with care, do hereby publish award in accordance with Clause 5, 17 and 18 of the INDRP Rules of Procedure and Clause 11 of .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP), as follows:

***Arbitral Tribunal orders that the disputed domain name***

***“www.ssvmdhurwaranchi.in”***

***shall be retained by the Respondent as the Complainant failed to establish their claim as mentioned above.***

AT has made and signed this Award at Bhopal (India) on 30.12.2025 (Thirtieth Day of December, Two Thousand Twenty-Five).



**Place: Bhopal (India)**

**(RAJESH BISARIA)**

**Date: 30.12.2025**

**Arbitrator**