

നോൺ ജുഡീഷ്യൽ

NON JUDICIAL

₹100

₹100

കേരള സർക്കാർ
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
e-Stamp

e-Stamp Serial Number : 202425000002713643

Verification Code : 188578125V

Govt. Reference No.(GRN) : KL042640964202425E
 Purpose : Bond
 Amount of Stamp Paper Purchased in Numeral : ₹ 100
 Amount of Stamp Paper Purchased in Words : Rupees One Hundred
 Stamp Paper Purchased on : 28/03/2025
 First Party Name : Adv SUNIL V MOHAMMED
 First Party Address : NIXI, Delhi
 Second Party Name : LEGAL
 Second Party Address : NIXI, DELHI
 Vendor Code & Name : 11112470 - M.R.Padmanabhan
 Treasury Code & Name : 1111 - STAMP DEPOT, Eranakulam

Please write or type below this line

BEFORE THE NATIONAL INTERNET EXCHANGE OF INDIA

Adv. SUNIL V. MOHAMMED BA., LL.B.
(Sole Arbitrator)

AWARD

Dated 10th December, 2025

Venue: New Delhi, India



This can be verified by
https://www.estamp.treasury.kerala.gov.in/index.php/estamp_search using e-Stamp
Serial Number and Verification Code.

Page 1 of 19

M. R. PADMANABHAN
High Court Stamp Vendor
ERNAKULAM

**In the matter of Arbitration under the .IN Domain Name Dispute
Resolution Policy; The INDRP Rules and Procedure and
The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996**

INDRP CASE No. 1976

Between

Complainant:

MHG IP HOLDING (SINGAPORE) PTE. LTD.,
2, Alexandra Road,
05-04/05, Delta House,
Singapore, 159919.

And

Respondent

ANIKET SINGH,
401B, Wing Bhaunaryan Height Indralok Phase 4,
Mumbai, Maharashtra - 401105, IN.

Disputed Domain Name : "anantaraspandheri.in"
Seat of Arbitration : Office of the NIXI at Delhi, India.
**Date of commencement
of Arbitration Proceeding** : 9th April, 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Description	Page No.
1	PARTIES TO THE ARBITRATION	4
2	APPLICABLE LAW AND JURISDICTION	4
3	THE DOMAIN NAME AND REGISTRAR	4 -5
4	PROCEDURAL HISTORY	5 -7
5	GROUND S URGED FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS	7
6	COMPLAINANT' S CONTENTIONS	7-10
7	RESPONDENT' S CONTENTIONS	10-11
8	DISCUSSIONS AND FINDINGS	11-18
9	DISPOSITIONS	18
10	LIST OF ANNEXURES	19



Adv. SUNIL V. MOHAMMED BA., LL.B.
(Sole Arbitrator)

1. The Parties to the Arbitration:

1.1 The Complainant in the Arbitration Proceedings is MHG IP HOLDING (SINGAPORE) PTE. LTD., having its registered office at 2, Alexandra Road, # 05-04/05, Delta House, Singapore, 159919. The Complainant's authorized representative in these proceedings is Mr. Jesse Lieberman, 2, Alexandra Road, # 05-04/05, Delta House, Singapore, 159919 (Email: jlieberman@minor.com), and its Attorneys in this administrative proceeding is Mr. Rohit Kochhar, Amit Panigrahi, Parul Panthi, Sahil Arora and all Advocates of Kochhar & Co, New Delhi 110025 (Email: trademarks.ip@kochhar.com) as per the Power of Attorney dated 15th July, 2022.

1.2 The Respondent in the proceeding is Aniket Singh, 401B Wing Bhaunaryan Height Indralok Phase 4, Mumbai, Maharashtra-401105 India, (E-mail: gbusiness702118@gmail.com), as per the details publically available in the WHOIS database (**Annexure A**) maintained by the National Internet Exchange of India (hereinafter referred to as NIXI)

2. Applicable Law and Jurisdiction:

2.1 The present Dispute Resolution Process is in accordance with Policy No. 5 of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (hereinafter referred to as the **.IN Policy**) and .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Rules of Procedure (hereinafter referred to as the **INDRP Rules of Procedure**), based on the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 as amended from time to time, adopted by the NIXI and sets forth the legal framework for resolution of disputes between a Domain Name Registrant and a Complainant arising out of the registration and use of an .IN Domain Name.

2.2 By registering the disputed Domain Name with the NIXI accredited Registrar, the Respondent has agreed to the resolution of disputes under the policy and Rules framed there under (See Policy No. 15 and 16 of the .IN Policy and Rule 13 (a) INDRP Rules of Procedure).

3. The Domain Name and Registrar:

3.1 The disputed Domain Name "**www.anantaraspandheri.in**" was registered on 22nd November, 2024, and is registered with HOSTINGER operations, UAB.



3.2 The particulars of the registration of Domain Name as found in the .IN Registry database are as follows:

DNS Form	anantaraspaandheri.in
User Form	anantaraspaandheri.in
ROID	DB887A8549C4D4C62B4EA912AD5374C8F-IN
Registrar Name	HOSTINGER operations, UAB
IANA ID	1636
Create Date	2024-11-22T10:14:32Z
Expiry Date	2025-11-22T10:14:32Z
Last Updated Date	2025-02-11T11:37:27Z
EPP Status	serverUpdateProhibited serverDeleteProhibited serverRenewProhibited clientTransferProhibited serverTransferProhibited
Domain Status	Registered
Assigned Nameservers	ns1.dns-parking.com ns2.dns-parking.com
Registrant Client ID	H-661F0B4E6E393
Registrant ROID	CC07991ADC24644A9819B6223BDFAE9B7-IN
Registrant Create Date	2024-04-16T23:35:49Z
Email	gbusiness702118@gmail.com
Phone	91.70211812
International Postal Name	Aniket Singh
International Postal Street Line 1	401B wing Bhaunarayan Height Indralok Phase 4
International Postal City	Mumbai
International Postal State	Maharashtra
International Postal Postcode/ Zip Code	401105
International Postal Country	IN

4. Procedural History

4.1 The Sole Arbitrator, Adv. Sunil V. Mohammed was appointed on 9th April, 2025, in the above INDRP case to resolve the domain dispute raised in the Complaint dated 10th March, 2025, in accordance with Rule 2(a) and 4(a) of the **INDRP Rules of Procedure**.

4.2 After obtaining the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality of Independence of the Sole Arbitrator, the NIXI has forwarded the



amended complaint along with **Annexures A to I** and also the WHOIS details of the domain and the Authorisation of Agent.

4.3 Accordingly, on 29th April, 2025, the Tribunal issued Notice under Rule 5(c) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure to the Respondent through e-mail and the Complainant was directed to serve copies of the domain complaint along with complete set of documents in soft copies as well as in physical via courier or post to the Respondent registrant at the address provided in the WHOIS details of the domain, in compliance of Rule 2 and 3(d) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure and to furnish proof of such service and delivery. In the said Notice, the Respondent was directed to file Reply to the Domain Complaint within 15 days.

4.4 Since the Complainant failed to furnish the proof service of notice on the Respondent as mandated under Rule 3(d) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal vide email dated 30.05.2025 directed the Complainant to comply with Notice dated 29.04.2025 in accordance Rule 3(d) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure and produce proof of the same. Consequently, the Complainant vide email dated 20.06.2025 forwarded the Postal Receipt dated 02.06.2025 and the Consignment tracking to show that Rule 3(d) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure has been complied with.

4.5 After declaring the service of the Domain complaint along with the Annexures and the Notice to the Respondent in compliance of Rule 3(d) and 2(d) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal in accordance with Rule 13 of the INDRP Rules of Procedure granted further time to the Respondent to submit response/reply to the domain complaint. Since no response/reply to the domain complaint was submitted, the Respondent was set ex parte going by Rule 17 of the INDRP Rules of Procedure. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned for further proceedings in accordance with Rule 5(e) of the INDRP Rules of Procedure.

4.6 In the meantime, vide e-mail dated 18.08.2025, the Tribunal was informed that the team that handled the Arbitration proceedings along with the Complainant have moved from Luthra & Luthra Law Offices, Delhi to Kochar & Co., Delhi and to facilitate the conduct of the matter, POA dated 15th July, 2025 was forwarded substituting the original POA dated 7th July, 2022 issued in favour of Luthra & Luthra Law Offices. Accordingly, the Tribunal accepted the same and allowed the said request vide email dated 18.08.2025. Thereafter, as a



matter of precaution, the Tribunal waited for a reasonable period to complete the procedure in the matter.

4.7 The Award in the matter ought to have been passed within 60 days from the date of handover. Due to the aforementioned reasons the said time limit could not be followed. That apart, further time was necessitated due to health issues of the Arbitrator and consequent bed rest.

5. Grounds urged for the Administrative Proceedings:



5.1 The disputed domain name is identically and/or confusingly similar to Complainant's domain name/trademark or service marks in which Complainant has rights.

5.2 The Registrant has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the domain name.

5.3 The Registrant's domain name has been registered or is being used in Bad faith.

6. Complainant's Contentions:

6.1 The Complainant would contend that its chain of 'ANANTARA' Hotels have been marvelled as one of the most astute luxury hotel developers in the world. It is the case of the Complainant that it is a subsidiary of Minor International PCL, which currently operates over 530 hotels, resorts and serviced suites and over 2,000 restaurants that they have built and developed over the course of over 50 years of operation, in 56 countries across the Asia Pacific, the Middle East, Europe, South America, Africa and the Indian ocean. The Complainant would state the Anantara hotels, resorts and spas have received a total of 11 top accolades at the World Spa Awards, 2020, with Anantara Spa being recognized as the World's Best Hotel Spa Brand for four consecutive years and further, the Anantara Desaru Coast Resort & Villas (Malaysia) has won Asia's Best Resort Spa at the World Spa Awards, 2023. In support of the same, the Complainant has produced **Annexure B**.

6.2 It is the further contention of the Complainant that among other things, it is the owner of the trademarks   (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "ANANTARA Trademarks"), in respect of its resorts and spas since the year 2000, and, have continuously expanded its business under the

